

**Hearing Date: To Be Determined**  
**Objection Deadline: To Be Determined**

JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
919 Third Avenue, 37th Floor  
New York, New York 10022-3908  
Telephone: (212) 891-1600  
Facsimile: (212) 891-1699  
Patrick J. Trostle  
Heather D. McArn

353 North Clark Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60654-3456  
Telephone: (312) 222-9350  
Facsimile: (312) 527-0484  
Robert L. Byman (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
Daniel R. Murray (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Attorneys for the Examiner

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re	:	Chapter 11
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 08-13555 (JMP)
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)

-----X-----

**THIRD INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF THE EXAMINER AND  
JENNER & BLOCK LLP FOR ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION  
FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES**

**SUMMARY SHEET PURSUANT TO UNITED STATES TRUSTEE GUIDELINES  
FOR REVIEWING APPLICATIONS FOR COMPENSATION AND  
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FILED UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 330**

Name of Applicant:	Anton R. Valukas (the “ <u>Examiner</u> ”) and Jenner & Block LLP	
Period for which Compensation and Reimbursement is Sought:	June 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009	
Authorized to Provide Professional Services to:	Anton R. Valukas, Examiner	
Date of Notice of Appointment:	January 19, 2009	
Date of Retention (Jenner & Block):	February 11, 2009, <i>nunc pro tunc</i> January 19, 2009	
Amount of Compensation and Expense Reimbursement Sought As Actual, Reasonable and Necessary:	Fees Requested:	\$16,227,724.95 <sup>1</sup>
	Expenses Requested:	\$4,129,426.78 <sup>2</sup>
Prior Amounts Requested:	Fees Previously Requested:	\$11,410,992.00 <sup>3</sup>
	Fees Previously Awarded:	\$10,331,257.84
	Expenses Previously Requested:	\$442,608.03
	Expenses Previously Awarded:	\$442,608.03

---

<sup>1</sup> This reflects a 10% reduction in standard hourly rates to which the Examiner and Jenner & Block have agreed because of the significant public interest associated with the Examiner’s duties and responsibilities. This total also reflects the Examiner’s and Jenner & Block’s voluntary reduction of half their non-working travel time, as well as other time that they have voluntarily written off or not billed.

<sup>2</sup> Approximately 82% of these expenses relate to wages paid to contract attorneys whom the Examiner retained for specific document review tasks pursuant to Court authorization. See footnote 14 and the accompanying text on page 11 below.

In addition, the amount of expense reimbursement requested herein represents a reduction of \$1,707.95 in the amount of expense reimbursement sought by the Examiner’s and Jenner & Block’s in their previously submitted monthly statements for June - September 2009. This reduction represents adjustments made to certain expense items to implement the Fee Committee’s guidelines on expenses.

<sup>3</sup> The Examiner and Jenner & Block submitted previous fee and expense reimbursement requests in their First Interim Fee Application, covering the period January 19, 2009 to January 31, 2009, and their Second Interim Fee Application, covering the period February 1, 2009 to May 31, 2009. [Docket Nos. 3319, 4808].

**Summary of Time Recorded in Connection With Rendering  
Services to the Examiner for June 1, 2009 - September 30, 2009**

**ATTORNEYS**

Position	Name	Admitted to Practice	Rate <sup>4</sup>	Time	Amount
Partner	Anton R. Valukas	1968	925	363.50	\$336,237.50
Partner	Stephen Ascher	1991	750	525.90	\$394,425.00
Partner	Matt D. Basil	1997	575	742.90	\$427,167.50
Partner	Robert L. Byman	1970	800	883.10	\$706,480.00
Partner	Timothy J. Chorvat	1986	625	254.30	\$158,937.50
Partner	David W. DeBruin	1980	750	97.20	\$72,900.00
Partner	Christopher Dickinson	1988	625	6.90	\$4,312.50
Partner	Jerome L. Epstein	1986	700	307.90	\$215,530.00
Partner	Gabriel A. Fuentes	1993	575	407.90	\$234,542.50
Partner	Chris C. Gair	1985	700	2.80	\$1,960.00
Partner	Marc B. Hankin	1992	725	706.10	\$511,922.50
Partner	William D. Heinz	1973	800	10.40	\$8,320.00
Partner	Katya Jestic	1996	675	104.60	\$70,605.00
Partner	Brent E. Kidwell	1993	525	37.90	\$19,897.50
Partner	David C. Layden	1993	575	623.40	\$358,455.00
Partner	Vincent E. Lazar	1990	700	259.10	\$181,370.00
Partner	James T. Malysiak	1973	625	214.90	\$134,312.50
Partner	Ronald L. Marmer	1977	900	477.20	\$429,480.00
Partner	Heather D. McArn	1992	550	583.50	\$320,925.00
Partner	Daniel R. Murray	1970	800	319.60	\$255,680.00
Partner	Thomas C. Newkirk	1966	850	61.30	\$52,105.00
Partner	Suzanne J. Prysak	1997	575	467.10	\$268,582.50
Partner	Catherine Steege	1982	725	34.70	\$25,157.50
Partner	Howard S. Suskin	1983	750	9.50	\$7,125.00
Partner	William L. Tolbert	1988	900	12.50	\$11,250.00
Partner	Seth A. Travis	1996	575	244.00	\$140,300.00
Partner	Patrick Trostle	1992	725	823.30	\$596,892.50
<b>Partner Total</b>				<b>8,581.50</b>	<b>\$5,944,872.50</b>
Of Counsel	Ronald DeKoven	1968	925	20.20	\$18,685.00
Staff Atty.	Edward P. McKenna	2003	280	114.40	\$32,032.00
<b>Of Counsel/Staff Attorney Total</b>				<b>134.60</b>	<b>\$50,717.00</b>

---

<sup>4</sup> These rates do not reflect the 10% reduction in standard hourly rates. The 10% reduction is applied to the Total Attorney Time amount.

Position	Name	Admitted to Practice	Rate <sup>4</sup>	Time	Amount
Associate	Angela M. Allen	2008	325	91.00	\$29,575.00
Associate	Chad E. Bell	2006	400	182.30	\$72,920.00
Associate	Sofia E. Biller	2008	325	916.40	\$297,830.00
Associate	Cori F. Brown	2005	400	491.70	\$196,680.00
Associate	Jessica A. Burke	2008	325	279.00	\$90,675.00
Associate	Anjan Choudhury	2004	475	916.80	\$435,480.00
Associate	Tiffany E. Clements	2008	325	575.80	\$187,135.00
Associate	Jonathan D. Conley	2008	325	713.80	\$231,985.00
Associate	Matthew Devine	2004	475	672.50	\$319,437.50
Associate	Jennifer L. Dlugosz	2006	370	60.90	\$22,533.00
Associate	Irina Y. Dmitrieva	2003	495	191.90	\$94,990.50
Associate	Brandon J. Dodgen	2008	325	167.40	\$54,405.00
Associate	Shelley K. Dufford	2007	370	432.00	\$159,840.00
Associate	Brian J. Fischer	2002	525	19.60	\$10,290.00
Associate	Melissa C. Fogerty	2005	435	33.20	\$14,442.00
Associate	Grant R. Folland	2008	325	839.60	\$272,870.00
Associate	Ilya Fradkin	2006	425	78.00	\$33,150.00
Associate	Anne M. Gardner	2008	325	726.30	\$236,047.50
Associate	Michelle A. Groman	2006	435	408.60	\$177,741.00
Associate	Marc E. Harrison	2006	400	14.90	\$5,960.00
Associate	Sean J. Hartigan	2004	495	74.40	\$36,828.00
Associate	Sean C. Herring	2005	435	387.60	\$168,606.00
Associate	Melissa M. Hinds	2003	495	52.00	\$25,740.00
Associate	David H. Hixson	2005	400	9.50	\$3,800.00
Associate	Grace S. Ho	2007	370	288.10	\$106,597.00
Associate	Kaija K. Hupila	2008	325	124.60	\$40,495.00
Associate	Omar Jafri	2008	325	755.00	\$245,375.00
Associate	Stacy S. Jakobe	2003	525	324.20	\$170,205.00
Associate	Andrew D. Kennedy	2008	325	768.00	\$249,600.00
Associate	Stephen Kang Kinslow	2006	400	359.10	\$143,640.00
Associate	Gregory S. Knudsen	2008	325	202.40	\$65,780.00
Associate	Andrew Kopelman	2006	425	444.30	\$188,827.50
Associate	Matthew R. Kopp	2008	325	161.30	\$52,422.50
Associate	Rodney L. Lewis	2005	400	562.90	\$225,160.00
Associate	Elizabeth L. Liebschutz	2008	370	521.10	\$192,807.00
Associate	Mark A. Lightner	2007	370	60.80	\$22,496.00
Associate	Matthew J. Mason	2008	325	674.20	\$219,115.00
Associate	Asha V. Mathai	2006	400	451.20	\$180,480.00
Associate	Edward P. McKenna	2003	495	83.00	\$41,085.00
Associate	Luke P. McLoughlin	2005	435	53.20	\$23,142.00
Associate	Sarah R. McNally	2008	325	831.60	\$270,270.00

Position	Name	Admitted to Practice	Rate <sup>4</sup>	Time	Amount
Associate	Christopher Meservy	2008	325	744.30	\$241,897.50
Associate	Deanne B. Millison	2008	325	248.00	\$80,600.00
Associate	David A. Newman	2006	400	64.10	\$25,640.00
Associate	Andrew S. Nicoll	2004	475	6.40	\$3,040.00
Associate	Andrew J. Olejnik	2004	475	470.00	\$223,250.00
Associate	Andrea Otto-Classon	2008	325	338.00	\$109,850.00
Associate	William E. Parker	2007	370	232.20	\$85,914.00
Associate	Laura E. Pelanek	2004	475	776.20	\$368,695.00
Associate	Tarsha A. Phillibert	2006	400	757.00	\$302,800.00
Associate	Keith V. Porapaiboon	2002	525	662.20	\$347,655.00
Associate	John M. Power	2008	325	1,003.20	\$326,040.00
Associate	Adam C.G. Ringguth	2008	325	690.90	\$224,542.50
Associate	Aaron-Michael H. Sapp	2008	325	922.20	\$299,715.00
Associate	Shorge K. Sato	2002	495	546.70	\$270,616.50
Associate	Trevor F. Schrage	2008	325	609.10	\$197,957.50
Associate	Ashley M. Schumacher	2008	325	79.40	\$25,805.00
Associate	Eric J. Schwab	2007	370	867.70	\$321,049.00
Associate	Jeffrey R. Shuman	2003	495	9.00	\$4,455.00
Associate	Michele L. Slachetka	2008	325	124.00	\$40,300.00
Associate	Valery K. Slosman	2008	325	404.60	\$131,495.00
Associate	Sarah F. Terman	2008	325	528.00	\$171,600.00
Associate	Adam G. Unikowsky	2007	370	36.90	\$13,653.00
Associate	Andrew W. Vail	2003	495	439.90	\$217,750.50
Associate	Richard M. Wallace	2008	325	257.30	\$83,622.50
Associate	William Wallenstein	2006	400	859.40	\$343,760.00
Associate	Erinn L. Wehrman	2003	495	46.80	\$23,166.00
Associate	Brian J. Wilson	2007	370	376.70	\$139,379.00
Associate	Thomas R. Winegar	2008	325	702.60	\$228,345.00
Associate	Jacob P. Zipfel	2008	325	871.90	\$283,367.50
<b>Associate Total</b>				<b>28,674.80</b>	<b>\$10,752,418.00</b>
<b>Total Attorney Time</b>				<b>37,390.90</b>	<b>\$16,748,007.50</b>
<b>Less 10% Reduction</b>					<b>(\$1,674,800.75)</b>
<b>Total Attorney Time at Reduced Rate</b>					<b>\$15,073,206.75</b>
<b>Blended Hourly Rate for Attorneys</b>					<b>\$403.13</b>

## PARAPROFESSIONALS

Position	Name	Rate <sup>5</sup>	Time	Amount
Senior Paralegal	Michael H. Matlock	270	208.30	\$56,241.00
Senior Paralegal	Shawn K. McGee	270	79.50	\$21,465.00
Senior Paralegal	Mariann Murphy	270	11.00	\$2,970.00
Paralegal	Lamia K. Azize	270	58.90	\$15,903.00
Paralegal	Wenette Bradford	255	262.60	\$66,963.00
Paralegal	Daniel R. Gross	255	28.10	\$7,165.50
Paralegal	Micah B. Horan	270	136.00	\$36,720.00
Paralegal	Cleopatra S. Murray	230	366.80	\$84,364.00
Paralegal	Jeffrey K. Phillips	230	207.90	\$47,817.00
Paralegal	Aubrey L. Rettig	255	379.50	\$96,772.50
Paralegal	Shannon R. Roberts	220	23.90	\$5,258.00
Paralegal	Eileen J. Robertson	230	14.70	\$3,381.00
Paralegal	Lisa A. Ross	260	17.80	\$4,628.00
Paralegal	Lilia V. Rozhkova	230	12.50	\$2,875.00
Paralegal	Mark R. Scholl	270	393.40	\$106,218.00
Paralegal	Christopher R. Ward	255	738.30	\$188,266.50
Project Assistant	Herman R. Barrios	160	94.20	\$15,072.00
Project Assistant	Holley Brandchaft-White	160	17.40	\$2,784.00
Project Assistant	Catherine L. Chu	160	57.50	\$9,200.00
Project Assistant	Allison Crowe	160	10.50	\$1,680.00
Project Assistant	Emily A. Flores	160	715.30	\$114,448.00
Project Assistant	Daniel O. Garcia	160	28.20	\$4,512.00
Project Assistant	Casey J. Gioielli	170	18.30	\$3,110.00
Project Assistant	Nancy J. Gonzalez	150	68.30	\$10,245.00
Project Assistant	Arjumand Hamid	160	11.50	\$1,840.00
Project Assistant	Jonathan Hollis	160	69.90	\$11,184.00
Project Assistant	Yong Joon Lee	160	216.20	\$34,592.00
Project Assistant	Lauren R. Prather	170	10.80	\$1,836.00
Project Assistant	Panagiota Ramos	170	233.60	\$39,712.00
Project Assistant	Alexander M. Righi	160	566.60	\$90,656.00
Project Assistant	Jonathan W. Striegel	170	32.30	\$5,491.00
Project Assistant	Adrienne P. Thacher	160	172.60	\$27,616.00
Project Assistant	Kenneth Waldmann	170	480.20	\$81,634.00
Project Assistant	Lauren E. Wang	160	468.80	\$75,008.00
Technology Assistant	Jennifer Anderson	275	3.00	\$825.00

---

<sup>5</sup> These rates do not reflect the 10% reduction in standard hourly rates. The 10% reduction is applied to the Total Paraprofessional Time amount.

Position	Name	Rate <sup>5</sup>	Time	Amount
Technology Assistant	Daniel B. Biemer	275	68.40	\$18,810.00
Technology Assistant	Tunde Holloway-Wusu	275	38.00	\$10,450.00
Technology Assistant	Kelly A. Laughran	275	12.60	\$3,465.00
Technology Assistant	Lori I. Manheimer	275	398.00	\$109,450.00
Technology Assistant	Zulaikha Master	275	217.30	\$59,757.50
Technology Assistant	James B. Salla	275	5.00	\$1,375.00
<b>Total Paraprofessional Time</b>			<b>6,953.70</b>	<b>\$1,481,761.00</b>
<b>Less 10% Reduction</b>				<b>(\$148,176.10)</b>
<b>Total Paraprofessional Time at Reduced Rate</b>				<b>\$1,333,584.90</b>

<b>Total Amount for Services Rendered (Attorneys and Paraprofessionals)</b>	<b>\$18,229,768.50</b>
<b>Less 10% Reduction</b>	<b>(\$1,822,976.85)</b>
<b>Total Amount for Services Rendered, including 10% Reduction (Attorneys and Paraprofessionals)</b>	<b>\$16,406,791.65</b>
<b>Less 50% Non-Working Travel Time</b>	<b>(\$179,066.70)</b>
<b>Total Amount of Fees Requested for Services Rendered (June 1, 2009 - September 30, 2009)</b>	<b>\$16,227,724.95</b>

**Hearing Date: To Be Determined**  
**Objection Deadline: To Be Determined**

JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
919 Third Avenue, 37th Floor  
New York, New York 10022-3908  
Telephone: (212) 891-1600  
Facsimile: (212) 891-1699  
Patrick J. Trostle  
Heather D. McArn

353 North Clark Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60654-3456  
Telephone: (312) 222-9350  
Facsimile: (312) 527-0484  
Robert L. Byman (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
Daniel R. Murray (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Attorneys for the Examiner

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	X	
In re	:	Chapter 11
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 08-13555 (JMP)
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
-----	X	

**THIRD INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF THE EXAMINER AND  
JENNER & BLOCK LLP FOR ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION  
FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES**

**TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M PECK  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE**

Anton R. Valukas, the Examiner (the “Examiner”) appointed for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI”) and its affiliated debtors (collectively, the “Debtors”) in the above-captioned bankruptcy cases, and Jenner & Block LLP (“Jenner & Block”), counsel to the Examiner, by this third interim fee application (the “Third Interim Application”) pursuant to sections 330(a) and 331 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule

2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), seek (i) interim allowance and payment of reasonable compensation for actual and necessary professional services performed by the Examiner and Jenner & Block in the aggregate amount of \$16,227,724.95 for the period from June 1, 2009 through and including September 30, 2009 (the “Compensation Period”); and (ii) reimbursement of actual, reasonable and necessary expenses in the aggregate amount of \$4,129,426.78 incurred during the Compensation Period.

To aid this Court in analyzing the Third Interim Application, the Examiner and Jenner & Block have divided it into four parts. Part I provides a brief background of the Debtors’ cases and the Examiner’s appointment. Part II provides an overview of the Third Interim Application. Part III provides a description of the work performed by the Examiner and Jenner & Block during the Compensation Period, by category, as well as how the Examiner and Jenner & Block calculated this compensation request. Finally, Part IV explains why this compensation request should be allowed.

## **I. Background**

1. Commencing on September 15, 2008, and periodically thereafter (as applicable, the “Commencement Date”), LBHI and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors’ chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Rule 1015(b) of the Bankruptcy Rules. The Debtors are authorized to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to §§ 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

2. On September 17, 2008, the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York (the “U.S. Trustee”) appointed the statutory committee of unsecured creditors pursuant to section 1102 of the Bankruptcy Code (the “Creditors’ Committee”).

3. On September 19, 2008, a proceeding was commenced under the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970 (“SIPA”) with respect to Lehman Brothers Inc. (“LBI”). A trustee appointed under SIPA (the “SIPA Trustee”) is administering LBI’s estate.

4. On January 16, 2009, the Court entered an order (the “Examiner Order”) directing the appointment of an examiner, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1104(c)(2), to investigate, *inter alia*, various transfers and transactions by the Debtors and their affiliates, claims that certain Debtors may have against LBHI, and the events that immediately preceded the commencement of the LBHI chapter 11 case (collectively, the “Investigation”). Examiner Order at ¶ 2 [Docket No. 2569]. The Examiner Order also directs the examiner to “perform the duties specified in sections 1106(a)(3) and (4) of the Bankruptcy Code, except to the extent the Court orders otherwise.” Id. at ¶ 3.

5. On January 19, 2009, the U.S. Trustee appointed Anton R. Valukas as examiner in the chapter 11 cases, subject to Court approval, and filed her notice of such appointment. [Docket No. 2570]. On January 20, 2009, the U.S. Trustee filed her application for an Order of this Court approving the appointment of Anton R. Valukas as examiner in the chapter 11 cases. [Docket No. 2571]. On January 20, 2009, this Court entered an order approving the appointment by the U.S. Trustee of Anton R. Valukas as Examiner in the chapter 11 cases. [Docket No. 2583]. On January 23, 2009, the Examiner filed his Application to Employ Jenner & Block LLP as Counsel to the Examiner. [Docket No. 2627]. On February 11, 2009, this Court entered the Order Authorizing the Examiner to Retain and Employ Jenner & Block LLP as his Counsel *nunc pro tunc* as of January 19, 2009. [Docket No. 2803].<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> On May 28 and November 6, Jenner & Block filed supplemental declarations disclosing connections with certain creditors and other parties-in-interest. [Docket Nos. 3676, 5752].

6. On February 13, the Examiner filed an application to retain Duff & Phelps, LLC as his financial advisor. [Docket No. 2825]. The Court approved the application on February 25. [Docket No. 2924]. On April 29, May 15, and June 30, the Examiner filed applications to retain contract attorneys to perform specific document review tasks. [Docket Nos. 3457, 3582, 4239].<sup>7</sup> The applications were approved by the Court on May 15, June 3, and July 16, respectively. [Docket Nos. 3577, 2750, 4428].

7. A plan of reorganization and disclosure statement has not yet been filed in these cases. On July 20, 2009, the Court entered an order extending the Debtors' exclusivity period to file a chapter 11 plan through March 15, 2010, and the period during which the Debtors may solicit acceptances thereof through May 17, 2010. [Docket No. 4449].

## **II. Third Interim Application**

8. The Examiner and Jenner & Block have prepared this Third Interim Application in accordance with the Administrative Order Re: Guidelines for Fees and Disbursements for Professionals in Southern District of New York Bankruptcy Cases dated June 20, 1991 (the “Original SDNY Guidelines”), the Administrative Order Re: Amended Guidelines for Fees and Disbursements for Professionals in Southern District of New York Bankruptcy Cases dated April 19, 1995 (the “Amended SDNY Guidelines,” and collectively the “Local Guidelines”), and the United States Trustee Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 U.S.C. § 330 promulgated by the United States Department of Justice dated January 30, 1996 (the “UST Guidelines” and collectively with the Local Guidelines and UST Guidelines, the “Guidelines”). Pursuant to the Amended SDNY

---

<sup>7</sup> On July 8, the Examiner filed 10 additional affidavits of disinterestedness in connection with his third application to retain contract attorneys. [Docket No. 4296].

Guidelines, a certification regarding compliance with such guidelines is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9. On May 26, 2009, the Court entered an Order Appointing a Fee Committee and Approving a Fee Application Protocol (the “Fee Committee Order”) [Docket No. 3651]. On June 25, 2009, the Court entered the Third Amended Order Pursuant to Sections 105(a) and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 2016(a) Establishing Procedures for Interim Monthly Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses of Professionals (the “Compensation Order”) [Docket No. 4165].

10. On August 3, 2009, the Fee Committee filed its Report Pertaining to the First Interim Fee Applications of All Retained Professionals. [Docket No. 4655]. On September 10, 2009, the Fee Committee filed its Report Pertaining to the Second Interim Fee Applications of All Retained Professionals. [Docket No. 5104]. On December 10, 2009, the Fee Committee filed its Report Pertaining to the Final Recommended Deductions from the Second Interim Fee Applications of All Retained Professionals. [Docket No. 6107]. After reviewing these reports and communicating with a representative of the Fee Committee to better understand the new guidelines promulgated by the Fee Committee, Jenner & Block revised its time and expense entries (where necessary) to comply with the Fee Committee’s suggestions. Jenner & Block is prepared to provide additional information to the Fee Committee with respect to any additional comments or questions the Fee Committee may have respecting disbursements or time entry descriptions for the period covered by this Third Interim Application.

11. The Examiner and Jenner & Block seek interim allowance herein of reasonable compensation for actual and necessary professional services rendered by and to the Examiner during the Compensation Period, in the aggregate amount of \$16,227,724.95 and for

reimbursement of actual, reasonable and necessary expenses incurred during the Compensation Period in connection with the rendition of such services in the aggregate amount of \$4,129,426.78.

12. The fees sought by this Third Interim Application reflect an aggregate of 44,344.7 hours of attorney and paraprofessional time spent and recorded in performing services by and for the Examiner during the Compensation Period. This aggregate amount does not include time that might be construed as duplicative or otherwise not beneficial to the Examiner's Investigation. Of the aggregate time expended during the Compensation Period, 8,581.50 recorded hours were expended by partners, 28,674.80 recorded hours were expended by associates, 134.60 recorded hours were expended by of counsel or staff attorneys, and 6,953.70 recorded hours were expended by paraprofessionals. During the Compensation Period, the Examiner's and Jenner & Block's hourly billing rates for attorneys working on these matters ranged from \$280.00 to \$925.00 per hour before applying the agreed upon 10% rate reduction. Allowance of compensation in the amount requested would result in a blended hourly billing rate for attorneys of approximately \$403.13.

13. Such fees are reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in comparable non-bankruptcy cases in a competitive national legal market.

14. Pursuant to the Guidelines, annexed hereto as Exhibit B is a schedule setting forth all Jenner & Block professionals and paraprofessionals who have performed services for the Examiner during the Compensation Period for which the Examiner and Jenner & Block are seeking reimbursement, the position in which each such individual is employed by Jenner & Block, the hourly billing rate charged for services performed by such individual, the aggregate

number of hours expended in this matter and fees billed therefore, and as to attorneys, the year in which each professional was first licensed to practice law.

15. Pursuant to the UST Guidelines, annexed hereto as Exhibit C is a summary by project matter of the fees generated by the services performed during the Compensation Period and, for each separate project matter, a list of each person providing services on the project, a statement of the number of hours spent and the amount of compensation requested for each person on the project.

16. Annexed hereto as Exhibit D is a schedule specifying the categories of expenses for which the Examiner and Jenner & Block are seeking reimbursement and the total amount for each such expense category; Exhibit D also includes a table that provides additional detail regarding each of the disbursement expenses for contract attorney wages during the Compensation Period. Exhibit E contains a detailed list of all expenses for which the Examiner and Jenner & Block seek reimbursement. This schedule has been adjusted for and does not reflect certain expenses incurred by the Examiner and Jenner & Block for which it will not be seeking reimbursement.<sup>8</sup>

17. The Examiner and Jenner & Block maintain detailed records of the time spent by all attorneys and paraprofessionals in connection with the representation of the Examiner.

---

<sup>8</sup> The total amount of expense reimbursement sought in this Third Interim Application is \$1,707.95 less than the amount of expense reimbursement sought by the Examiner and Jenner & Block in their previously submitted monthly statements for June - September 2009. This difference represents adjustments made to certain expense items to implement the Fee Committee's guidelines on expenses.

The detailed list of expenses that are attached as Exhibit E have been redacted in order to comply with Paragraph 5 of the Examiner Order, which states, "Until the Examiner has filed his or her report, neither the Examiner nor the Examiner's representatives or agents shall make any public disclosures concerning the performance of the Investigation or the Examiner's duties...." Concurrently herewith, the Examiner and Jenner & Block are providing the Chair of the Fee Committee and the U.S. Trustee with an unredacted version of Exhibit E.

Copies of redacted, detailed time records are annexed hereto as Exhibit F. Copies of unredacted versions of these detailed time records have been submitted previously to the Chair of the Fee Committee, under a confidentiality letter agreement, and to the Office of the United States Trustee. The Examiner and Jenner & Block have prepared and submitted redacted versions of the detailed time entries in compliance with Paragraph 5 of the Examiner Order, which states, “Until the Examiner has filed his or her report, neither the Examiner nor the Examiner’s representatives or agents shall make any public disclosures concerning the performance of the Investigation or the Examiner’s duties....”

18. The fees charged by the Examiner and Jenner & Block in these cases reflect an agreed upon 10% reduction from standard hourly rates because of the significant public interest associated with the Examiner’s duties and responsibilities. The fees are otherwise billed in accordance with Jenner & Block’s general existing billing rates and procedures in effect during the Compensation Period. The rates the Examiner and Jenner & Block charge for the services rendered by its professionals and paraprofessionals to the Examiner are the rates charged by the Examiner and the firm for professional and paraprofessional services rendered in comparable matters. Such fees are reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in a competitive national legal market in cases other than cases under title 11.

19. All of the services for which interim compensation is sought were rendered by or to the Examiner solely in furtherance of his duties and functions as Examiner and not on behalf of any individual creditor or other person.

20. The Examiner and Jenner & Block have not entered into any agreement, express or implied, with any other party for the purpose of fixing or sharing fees or other compensation to be paid for professional services rendered in these cases.

21. The Examiner and Jenner & Block have not shared, nor agreed to share (a) any compensation they have received or may receive with another party or person, other than with the members, counsel and associates of the firm, or (b) any compensation another person or party has received or may receive. No promises have been received by the Examiner or Jenner & Block as to compensation in connection with these cases other than in accordance with the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code.

22. To the extent that time or disbursement charges for services rendered or disbursements incurred relate to the Compensation Period, but were not processed prior to the preparation of this Third Interim Application, the Examiner and Jenner & Block reserve the right to request additional compensation for such services and reimbursement of such expenses in a future application.

### **III. Summary of Professional Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Requested**

23. As reported in the First Interim Fee Application, immediately following the Examiner's appointment, the Examiner<sup>9</sup> performed a wide variety of tasks in connection with the Investigation. These included, among other things: (a) assembling publicly available materials; (b) meeting and conferring with various parties to develop a work plan and to coordinate to avoid duplication of effort; (c) coordinating with agencies of the U.S. Government; (d) contacting examiners in other complex matters for assistance in developing

---

<sup>9</sup> Except as otherwise noted, references to steps taken by the Examiner include both the Examiner and Jenner & Block.

an efficient work plan; (e) entering into stipulations with the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee to obtain access to documents and other materials; (f) filing a Rule 2004 motion that requested omnibus authority to issue subpoenas, including document requests, upon witnesses;<sup>10</sup> and (g) establishing a depository to collect documents, transcripts, and other materials.

24. As reported in the Second Interim Fee Application, the Examiner continued the Investigation by: (a) finalizing and transmitting the Examiner's Preliminary Work Plan to the Court and parties-in-interest; (b) meeting with the Examiner's financial advisor, Duff & Phelps,<sup>11</sup> to coordinate the Investigation; (c) conferring with the Debtors, the Creditors' Committee, and other parties involved in the case to eliminate duplication and to make the work product being generated useful to other parties in the future; (d) meeting with professionals at Alvarez & Marsal to ascertain what data and documents were available, how the data and documents could be accessed, and what analysis had already been performed; (e) entering into stipulations with various parties; and (f) communicating with the Office of the United States Trustee, the SIPA Trustee, and agencies of the U.S. Government.

25. The Examiner also: (a) collaborated with the Debtors and other parties involved in the Investigation to begin the process of collecting, managing, and reviewing documents; (b) obtained authority from the Court to retain contract attorneys to perform document review; (c) commenced witness interviews in early February; (d) held a weekly meeting with team leaders to coordinate the investigation, maximize efficiency, avoid duplication, and draw on

---

<sup>10</sup> The Court granted the 2004 Motion on February 11, 2009. [Docket No. 2804].

<sup>11</sup> On February 13, the Examiner filed an application to retain Duff & Phelps, LLC as his financial advisor. [Docket No. 2825]. The Court approved the application on February 25. [Docket No. 2924].

the expertise and experience of his professionals; and (e) prepared factual and legal memoranda as information was discovered during the course of the Investigation.

26. During the Compensation Period, many of these activities continued as the Examiner's Investigation advanced.

27. The Examiner remained in communication with the Debtors, the Creditors' Committee and other parties involved in the case to eliminate duplication of effort, to make the work product being generated useful to other parties in the future, and to obtain documents relevant to the Investigation.<sup>12</sup> As noted previously, the magnitude of the document collection, management, and review process required, and continues to require, significantly greater resources than had originally been anticipated—to date, the Examiner has collected approximately 5.2 million potentially relevant documents, which, at an average of six pages per document, totals roughly 31.2 million pages.<sup>13</sup> The Examiner continued to use contract attorneys to review the vast majority of these documents, thereby saving the estate tens of millions of dollars over the fees that otherwise might have been incurred using usual law firm rates.<sup>14</sup>

---

<sup>12</sup> On July 1, the Creditors' Committee filed a motion with the Court requesting that the Court enter an order directing the Examiner to comply with the language of the Examiner Order, particularly with respect to the Barclays' sale transaction. [Docket No. 4262]. After a hearing on July 15, the Court denied the motion. The order denying the motion was entered on September 8, 2009. [Docket No. 5084].

<sup>13</sup> As noted in the Second Interim Fee Application, these documents are stored and reviewed in two document management platforms: Stratify and Case Logistix. Stratify is a secure, web-based, electronic discovery and document management system that was selected by the Debtors' professionals for use in these cases. Case Logistix is a document management and review platform used by the Examiner to organize, search, analyze, and review documents received from various third-parties.

<sup>14</sup> As noted above, during the Compensation Period, the Examiner filed an application, and supplemental affidavits of disinterestedness to the application, to retain additional contract attorneys to perform specific document review tasks. [Docket Nos. 4239, 4296]. The Court approved the application on July 16. [Docket No. 4428]. As was the case for the contract attorneys previously retained, the Examiner briefed

28. The Examiner also entered into confidentiality stipulations regarding documents, in some cases after having served a subpoena, with the following parties: Ernst & Young LLP,<sup>15</sup> HSBC Bank USA, Inc.,<sup>16</sup> Barclays Capital Inc.,<sup>17</sup> Bank of America Corporation,<sup>18</sup> Lazard Ltd.,<sup>19</sup> Perella Weinberg Partners LP,<sup>20</sup> Korea Development Bank,<sup>21</sup> and Tishman Speyer Real Estate.<sup>22</sup> In other instances, third parties agreed to produce documents to the Examiner without entering into a confidentiality stipulation. During this Compensation Period, the Examiner also (a) responded to requests from parties, pursuant to the provision of the relevant stipulation, to “claw-back” previously produced documents on the basis of privilege,

---

the new contract attorneys on the scope and substance of the Investigation so as to educate them on the relevant issues to identify as part of the document review.

<sup>15</sup> The Examiner-Ernst & Young stipulation was filed June 23, 2009 [Docket No. 4122] and approved by the Court on June 30, 2009 [Docket No. 4251]. The stipulation followed Ernst & Young’s objections to the Examiner’s Subpoena for Rule 2004 Examination, which was served on May 29.

<sup>16</sup> The Examiner-HSBC stipulation was filed July 9, 2009 [Docket No. 4301] and approved by the Court on July 21, 2009 [Docket No. 4462].

<sup>17</sup> The stipulation with Barclays also included the Debtors, the SIPA Trustee, and the Creditors’ Committee. The parties entered into the stipulation following the Court’s order granting the Debtors’ Rule 2004 motion and authorizing specific discovery parties to take certain discovery from Barclays. The stipulation was filed July 16, 2009 [Docket No. 4424] and approved by the Court on July 30, 2009 [Docket No. 4524].

<sup>18</sup> The Examiner-Bank of America stipulation was filed September 1, 2009 [Docket No. 5033] and approved by the Court on September 14, 2009 [Docket No. 5136].

<sup>19</sup> The Examiner-Lazard stipulation was filed September 1, 2009 [Docket No. 5034] and approved by the Court on September 11, 2009 [Docket No. 5118].

<sup>20</sup> The Examiner-Perella stipulation was filed September 9, 2009 [Docket No. 5092] and approved by the Court on October 8, 2009 [Docket No. 5403]. The stipulation followed Perella’s objections to the Examiner’s Subpoena for Rule 2004 Examination, which was served on August 11, 2009.

<sup>21</sup> The Examiner-KDB stipulation was filed September 18, 2009 [Docket No. 5225] and approved by the Court on September 29, 2009 [Docket No. 5295]. The stipulation followed KDB’s objections to the Examiner’s Subpoena for Rule 2004 Examination, which was served on July 28, 2009.

<sup>22</sup> The Examiner-Tishman Speyer stipulation was filed September 29, 2009 [Docket No. 5297] and approved by the Court on October 8, 2009 [Docket No. 5413]. The stipulation followed Tishman Speyer’s objections to the Examiner’s Subpoena for Rule 2004 Examination, which was served on August 13, 2009.

and (b) managed other privileged related issues arising in connection with the Examiner's document review.

29. While pursuing, collecting, and reviewing documents, the Examiner continued to conduct witness interviews throughout the Compensation Period. Between June and September, the Examiner interviewed approximately 130 witnesses in addition to the approximately 55 witnesses interviewed between February and May.<sup>23</sup> As a general matter, witnesses cooperated in making arrangements for their interviews, and the Examiner was able to schedule the interviews, either in person or telephonically, without much delay.

30. The Examiner also: (a) continued to participate in weekly calls with agencies of the U.S. Government to exchange information that those agencies felt necessary to have exchanged; (b) met with the Office of the United States Trustee to apprise them of the status of the Investigation, further resources to be utilized, and anticipated timetable for completion of the Investigation; and (c) coordinated with the SIPA Trustee to avoid duplication and to share information regarding interviews that have been completed and key documents that have been identified.

31. As for other tasks and developments during the Compensation Period, the Examiner: (a) prepared outlines and work plans for drafting sections of the Examiner's final report; (b) began drafting sections of the final report; (c) worked with Duff & Phelps to identify analyses necessary for the report; (d) identified public domain materials that relate to the Investigation; (e) tracked witness, interview, and document information so as to coordinate among team members; (f) conducted legal research on potential claims to be analyzed as

---

<sup>23</sup> These numbers include depositions taken by other parties which the Examiner participated in or attended.

required by the Examiner Order; and (g) continued to hold a weekly team leaders meeting to coordinate the Investigation.

**A. Specific Project Matters**

32. As noted above, Exhibit C is a summary by project matter of the fees generated during the Compensation Period. The following are descriptions of the project matters and their necessity and benefit to the estate. The descriptions include a statement of the aggregate number of hours spent and fees charged for each matter after applying the 10% reduction. The persons providing services on each project matter are listed on Exhibit C.

**B. Case Administration**

33. This matter relates to work performed in maintaining and updating an internal website (SharePoint) to serve as a depository of documents, correspondence, and information, including calendar, contact, and witness information, for all attorneys and paraprofessionals working on the case. This matter also includes time spent (i) preparing a supplemental declaration in support of the Jenner & Block's retention as counsel to the Examiner, and (ii) in connection with a weekly paraprofessional coordination meeting.

34. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 268.2 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$59,046.75, on matters relating to case administration.

**C. Examiner**

35. This matter includes all the Examiner's time spent performing his duties under the Examiner Order and Bankruptcy Code during the Compensation Period, including communicating with various parties and agencies of the U.S. Government regarding the Investigation; conferring with members of his investigatory team regarding work plans, timelines, investigation topics, preliminary findings, and draft sections of the Examiner's report; and reviewing and analyzing key documents, interview summaries, and information

prepared and identified by his professionals.<sup>24</sup> The Examiner also prepared for and interviewed several key witnesses during the Compensation Period.

36. During the Compensation Period, the Examiner expended 322.4 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$268,398.00, on matters relating to performing his duties as Examiner and conducting the Investigation.

**D. Court Hearings**

37. This matter includes all time spent by Jenner & Block attorneys in attending court hearings regarding matters related to the Investigation, including the Examiner's second motion to retain contract attorneys, the Debtors' motion for Rule 2004 discovery from Barclays, the Creditors' Committee's motion regarding the scope of the Investigation, the Examiner's report to the Court on the status of the Investigation, and the Examiner's and Jenner & Block's Second Interim Fee Application.

38. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 15.5 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$10,788.75, attending Court hearings.

**E. Report Drafting**

39. This matter includes all time spent by Jenner & Block attorneys working on draft sections of the Examiner's report, including reviewing exemplar examiner reports from other major bankruptcy cases, drafting an initial outline for the final report, reviewing teams' draft outlines for the final report, drafting the introductory and factual background sections for the final report, and reviewing documents and other materials for such sections.

---

<sup>24</sup> The Examiner's non-working travel time is not included in this matter, but is billed under "Non-Working Travel Time" so that the 50% reduction could be applied to such time.

40. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 400.5 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$197,398.35, on matters relating to report drafting.

#### **F. Governance and Fiduciary Duty Issues**

41. The Examiner Order requires the Examiner to investigate, *inter alia*:

- Whether there are colorable claims for breach of fiduciary duties and/or aiding or abetting any such breaches against the officers and directors of LBCC and/or other Debtors arising in connection with the financial distress of the Lehman enterprise prior to the commencement of the LBHI chapter 11 case on September 15, 2008; and
- The events that occurred from September 4, 2008 through September 15, 2008 or prior thereto that may have resulted in commencement of the LBHI chapter 11 case.

Examiner Order, ¶ 2 (fifth and tenth bullets). The Examiner Order also requires the Examiner to “perform the duties specified in sections 1106(a)(3) and (4) of the Bankruptcy Code, except to the extent the Court orders otherwise.” Examiner Order, ¶ 3.

42. During the Compensation Period, attorneys who have been assigned to these elements of the Investigation (i) revised and updated sub-topic work plans for investigating whether there are colorable claims for breaches of fiduciary duties and the events leading up to the commencement of the LBHI chapter 11 case, (ii) reviewed and analyzed extensive documents and materials regarding these issues, (iii) identified potential witnesses with information regarding these elements of the Investigation, (iv) prepared for and conducted witness interviews, (v) worked with the Examiner’s financial advisors to conduct necessary analyses and data review, (vi) researched relevant case law, and (vii) began drafting sections of the Examiner’s report on these elements. Sub-topics investigated by members of this investigatory team<sup>25</sup> include, *inter alia*: risk management, valuation, residential mortgage

---

<sup>25</sup> In the detailed time entries attached hereto as Exhibit F, use of the term “Team 3” refers to the team assigned to these elements of the Investigation.

origination and securitization, commercial real estate investment and financing, leveraged loans, hedging, liquidity and capital adequacy, and survival strategies. Inquiries into each of these sub-topics are focused on investigating whether there colorable claims for breaches of fiduciary duties prior to the prior to the commencement of the LBHI chapter 11 case on September 15, 2008, and what were the events that may have resulted in the commencement of the LBHI chapter 11 case.

43. Jenner & Block expended 19,266.1 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$6,883,880.40, on investigating possible colorable claims with respect to the governance and fiduciary duty elements of the Investigation.

#### **G. Intercompany Transfers**

44. The Examiner Order requires the Examiner to investigate, *inter alia*:

- Whether LBCC or any other entity that currently is an LBHI chapter 11 debtor subsidiary or affiliate (“LBHI Affiliate(s)”) has any administrative claims against LBHI resulting from LBHI’s cash sweeps of cash balances, if any, from September 15, 2008, the commencement date of LBHI’s chapter 11 case, through the date that such applicable LBHI affiliate commenced its chapter 11 case;
- All voluntary and involuntary transfers to, and transactions with, affiliates, insiders and creditors of LBCC or its affiliates, in respect of foreign exchange transactions and other assets that were in the possession or control of LBHI Affiliates at any time commencing on September 15, 2008 the day that each LBHI Affiliate commenced its chapter 11 case;
- Whether any LBHI Affiliate has colorable claims against LBHI for potentially insider preferences arising under the Bankruptcy Code or state law;
- Whether any LBHI Affiliate has colorable claims against LBHI or any other entities for potentially voidable transfers or incurrences of debt, under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise applicable law;
- The inter-company accounts and transfers among LBHI and its direct and indirect subsidiaries, including but not limited to: LBI, LBIE, Lehman Brothers Special Finance and LBCC, during the 30-day period preceding the commencement of the chapter 11 cases by each debtor on September 15, 2008 or thereafter or such longer period as the Examiner deems relevant to the Investigation; and

- The transfer of the capital stock of certain subsidiaries of LBI on or about September 19, 2008 to Lehman ALI Inc.

Examiner Order, ¶ 2 (first, second, third, fourth, seventh, and ninth bullets).

45. During the Compensation Period, attorneys who have been assigned to these elements of the Investigation (i) revised and updated sub-topic work plans for investigating intercompany transfers, the Debtors' cash management system, and potentially avoidable transfers, (ii) reviewed and analyzed extensive documents and materials regarding these issues, (iii) identified potential witnesses with information regarding these elements of the Investigation, (iv) prepared for and conducted witness interviews, (v) researched relevant case law, and (vi) began drafting sections of the Examiner's report on these elements. Members of this investigatory team<sup>26</sup> worked closely with the Examiner's financial advisors to analyze the relevant transactions to be investigated, conferred on a regular basis with professionals at Alvarez & Marsal regarding the availability of data necessary to identify and analyze these transactions, developed an understanding of the cash management system and internal accounting procedures, reviewed key financial statements, investigated corporate information regarding the LBHI Affiliates, and analyzed Lehman's solvency and capital adequacy by reviewing Lehman's asset composition, liquidity and capitalization, and funding sources, among other things.

46. Jenner & Block expended 6,171.4 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$2,546,798.85, on matters relating to the investigation of intercompany transfers.

---

<sup>26</sup> In the detailed time entries attached hereto as Exhibit F, use of the term "Team 2" refers to the team assigned to these elements of the Investigation.

## **H. Barclays Transactions**

47. The Examiner Order requires the Examiner to investigate, *inter alia*:

- Whether assets of any LBHI Affiliates (other than Lehman Brothers, Inc.) were transferred to Barclays Capital Inc. as a result of the sale to Barclays Capital Inc. that was approved by order of the Bankruptcy Court entered September 20, 2008, and whether consequences to any LBHI Affiliate as a result of the consummation of the transaction created colorable causes of action that inure to the benefit of the creditors of such LBHI subsidiary or affiliate.

Examiner Order, ¶ 2 (sixth bullet).

48. During the Compensation Period, attorneys who have been assigned to this element of the Investigation (i) revised and updated a work plan for investigating the identified the questions set forth in the Examiner Order regarding the Barclays sale, (ii) reviewed and analyzed extensive documents and materials regarding these issues, (iii) identified potential witnesses with information regarding this element of the Investigation, (iv) prepared for and conducted witness interviews, (v) worked with the Examiner's financial advisors to conduct necessary analyses and data review, (vi) researched relevant case law, and (vii) began drafting sections of the Examiner's report on this element. Members of this investigatory team<sup>27</sup> have investigated the factual predicate of the Barclays sale to address whether any LBHI Affiliate has a colorable cause of action as a result of that transaction. The Investigation has included, *inter alia*, an analysis of the assets and liabilities transferred to Barclays, the circumstances of the sale, available alternatives to the sale, and the transfer of 25 LBI subsidiaries to Lehman ALI just before LBI filed bankruptcy.

49. Jenner & Block expended 1,967.2 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$790,130.70 on investigations of whether assets of any LBHI Affiliate were transferred to Barclays and

---

<sup>27</sup> In the detailed time entries attached hereto as Exhibit F, use of the term "Team 5" refers to the team assigned to these elements of the Investigation.

whether the Barclays sale created colorable causes of action that inure to the benefit of the creditors of such LBHI subsidiary or affiliate.

### **I. Bank and Other Third-Party Transactions**

50. The Examiner Order requires the Examiner to investigate, *inter alia*:

- Whether any LBHI Affiliate has colorable claims against LBHI or any other entities for potentially voidable transfers or incurrences of debt, under the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise applicable law; and
- The transactions and transfers, including but not limited to the pledging or granting of collateral security interest among the debtors and the prechapter 11 lenders and/or financial participants including but not limited to, JPMorgan Chase, Citigroup, Inc., Bank of America, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and others.

Examiner Order, ¶ 2 (fourth and eighth bullets).

51. During the Compensation Period, attorneys who have been assigned to these elements of the Investigation (i) revised and updated sub-topic work plans for investigating bank and third party transactions, (ii) reviewed and analyzed extensive documents and materials regarding these issues, (iii) identified potential witnesses with information regarding this element of the Investigation, (iv) prepared for and conducted witness interviews, (v) worked with the Examiner's financial advisors to conduct necessary analyses and data review, (vi) researched relevant case law, and (vii) began drafting sections of the Examiner's report on these elements. Members of this investigatory team<sup>28</sup> worked closely with Alvarez & Marsal to identify the relevant transactions and documents in the Debtors' possession, communicated with other parties' professionals regarding potential claims, and worked with representatives of JPMorgan Chase, Citigroup, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, and HSBC to obtain relevant documents and to schedule witness interviews.

---

<sup>28</sup> In the detailed time entries attached hereto as Exhibit F, use of the term "Team 4" refers to the team assigned to these elements of the Investigation.

52. Jenner & Block expended 6,080.4 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$2,316,415.05 on investigations of other third-party transactions.

**J. General Bankruptcy Matters and Research**

53. This matter relates to general bankruptcy matters and research in support of the Examiner's Investigation. Tasks in this matter include monitoring the LBHI bankruptcy docket for pleadings and documents relevant to the Investigation, analyzing parties' Rule 2004 requests and other relevant pleadings, tracking adversary proceedings that are filed in the LBHI bankruptcy cases, conducting various legal research that relates to potential claims being investigated by the Examiner, and filing and serving various documents on behalf of the Examiner.

54. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 518.7 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$166,320.00, on such matters.

**K. Communications and Meetings with Parties in Interest**

55. In the Examiner Order, the Court directed the Debtors, the Debtors' affiliates and subsidiaries, the Creditors' Committee, the SIPA Trustee, and their respective representatives "to cooperate with the Examiner in conjunction with the performance of any of the Examiner's duties and the Investigation" and "to coordinate with the Examiner to avoid unnecessary interference with, or duplication of, the Investigation." Examiner Order, ¶ 4. The Court also ordered the Examiner to "cooperate fully with any governmental agencies" and to "follow a protocol to be established with the governmental agencies for the sharing of information...." Examiner Order, ¶ 8.

56. During the Compensation Period, the Examiner conferred with various parties in interest and maintained regular contact with the Government, the Office of the United States

Trustee, and the SIPA Trustee and his professionals. Time entries in this matter cover communications, meetings, and preparations for meetings with these parties.

57. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 127.1 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$54,761.40 on matters described in this category.

**L. Non-Working Travel Time**

58. This matter includes time entries related to non-working travel time spent by the Examiner and Jenner & Block attorneys to participate in dozens of interviews with witnesses and professionals in these cases, to review certain documents, and to confer with various parties in interest.

59. During the Compensation Period, the Examiner and Jenner & Block expended 720.5 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$358,133.40 for non-working traveling time. However, the Examiner and Jenner & Block are voluntarily reducing their bill for these fees and are seeking compensation only for one-half of these fees, or \$179,066.70.

**M. Document Management and Review**

60. A critical piece of the Examiner's Investigation relates to the collection and processing of a vast volume of documents and information that is in the possession of various parties in interest. Time entries in this matter relate to the management and review of these documents and information, including conferring with Alvarez & Marsal and the Debtors regarding the available data systems, entering into stipulations with various parties regarding the use of data and documents, technically processing documents for review by attorneys, and tracking documents requested and received.

61. Jenner & Block has utilized two document management systems, Stratify and Case Logistix, to review and analyze documents produced by the Debtors and by third-parties, respectively. As the Investigation unfolded and the Examiner began receiving documents from

various parties, it became clear that additional document reviewers would be necessary.

Accordingly, the Examiner obtained Court approval in May to retain 20 contract attorneys, 17 additional contract attorneys in June, and 43 additional contract attorneys in July to perform specific document review. All of these contract attorneys needed to be briefed on the Investigation and integrated into the process. Moreover, certain Jenner & Block attorneys and paraprofessionals interacted with contract attorneys on a regular basis to answer questions and assign documents to be reviewed and periodically performed quality control of the document review and tagging process being conducted by the contract attorneys. Time associated with the management of the use of contract attorneys is reported in this matter.<sup>29</sup>

62. Also included in this matter is time spent by Jenner & Block attorneys (i) reviewing case background materials prepared by the Examiner and team leaders to educate new team members on the scope and nature of the Investigation; (ii) preparing a case chronology to track the timeline of key events; and (iii) reviewing documents that relate to more than one of the categories of topics to be investigated by the Examiner.

63. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 5,504.0 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$1,589,473.35, with respect to document and data management and review.

#### **N. Billing and Fee Applications**

64. This matter relates to the preparation of monthly fee statements and fee applications. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block reviewed and edited time entry descriptions and expense entries to comply with the Guidelines and the Fee Committee's

---

<sup>29</sup> The actual expenses incurred by Jenner & Block for work performed by the contract attorneys are included in the list of disbursements.

reports. Jenner & Block also redacted the time and expense entries to preserve the confidentiality of the Investigation pursuant to paragraph 5 of the Examiner Order, which states, “Until the Examiner has filed his or her report, neither the Examiner nor the Examiner’s representatives or agents shall make any public disclosures concerning the performance of the Investigation or the Examiner’s duties....”

65. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 681.7 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$256,936.50, on billing and fee application matters. This total amounts to 1.58% of the fees requested for the Compensation Period.

#### **O. Consultation with Financial Advisors**

66. In order to carry out his duties and responsibilities under the Bankruptcy Code and the Examiner Order, shortly after his appointment, the Examiner determined that he would need the assistance of a financial advisor who had extensive experience and expertise in complex accounting and bankruptcy investigations. After conducting several interviews with potential candidates, in early February, the Examiner selected Duff & Phelps as his financial advisor, subject to Court approval. This matter includes time spent by Jenner & Block conferring with Duff & Phelps professionals regarding work plans, documents to request from parties, witness interviews, and analyses of key financial issues in the Investigation which cut across multiple subject matters of the Investigation.

67. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 125.0 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$74,749.95, on matters relating to consultation with Duff & Phelps.<sup>30</sup>

---

<sup>30</sup> Where communications with Duff & Phelps professionals related substantially to one of the elements of the Investigation, the time entries were recorded in the project matter to which that element was assigned.

**P. Witness Interviews**

68. Time entries in this matter relate to (i) tracking names and contact information of potential witnesses in the Investigation; (ii) preparing for and conducting witness interviews, to the extent that such entries are not associated with a single investigatory subject matter; (iii) maintaining witness files based on documents identified by the Examiner's professionals; (iv) drafting and reviewing summary interview memoranda; (v) researching and analyzing publicly available information regarding certain witnesses; and (vi) drafting letter requests for interviews of government officials.

69. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 1,513.3 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$552,896.10, on matters relating to witness interviews.<sup>31</sup>

**Q. Investigation Planning and Coordination**

70. In appointing the Examiner, the Court expressed a strong interest in ensuring that the Investigation avoids replication of efforts and duplication of services. To the extent that Jenner & Block communicates and meets with external parties to achieve this goal, such time is tracked in the matter for communications and meetings with parties in interest or, if the communications or meetings relate to a specific element of the Investigation, then to the relevant matter. However, due to the breadth of the Investigation, the number of parties and entities involved, the volume of documents and data to be reviewed and analyzed, and the time frame for completing the Investigation, the Examiner and his team at Jenner & Block routinely communicated and coordinated internally regarding the scope of the Investigation, documents and data to request, witnesses to interview, and research to be conducted which is relevant to

---

<sup>31</sup> Again, where tasks relating to witness interviews related substantially to one of the elements of the Investigation, the time entries were recorded in the project matter to which that element was assigned.

multiple investigatory subject matters. Accordingly, time entries for such planning and coordination to avoid the duplication of work and to conduct an expedient yet thorough investigation are included in this matter.

71. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 642.5 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$263,847.60, on matters relating to investigation planning and coordination.

**R. U.K. Matters**

72. This matter relates to issues that have arisen during the course of the Examiner's investigation which involve questions of U.K. law. Tasks in this matter include communications, document review, and legal analysis by an attorney who is of counsel at Jenner & Block and who lives in the United Kingdom.

73. During the Compensation Period, Jenner & Block expended 20.2 hours, at an aggregate charge of \$16,816.50, on matters relating to U.K. law.

**IV. The Requested Compensation Should Be Allowed**

74. The foregoing professional services performed by the Examiner and Jenner & Block were necessary and appropriate to the Examiner's administration of his duties in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases and were in the best interests of the Examiner, the Debtors' estates, and other parties in interest. Compensation for the foregoing services as requested is commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problems, issues, or tasks involved. Jenner & Block has taken significant efforts to ensure that the professional services were performed expeditiously, in an efficient manner, and without duplication of effort.

75. In preparing this Third Interim Application, the Examiner and Jenner & Block calculated the amount of time spent by each attorney and paraprofessional in performing actual and necessary legal services for the Examiner and the Investigation. That data came directly

from computerized records that are kept for each Jenner & Block client. Individual time entries are maintained on written daily logs, personal computer hard drives or the firm's central computer network. All time entries and expenses are uploaded into the billing system, which then produces draft client billing memoranda and invoices. Jenner & Block attorneys have reviewed and edited the draft invoices and billing memoranda for errors prior to their submission.

76. The rates used in this Third Interim Application are the customary and usual rates which the Examiner and Jenner & Block charge clients on matters of this type, subject to the 10% reduction in standard hourly rates to which the Examiner and Jenner & Block have agreed because of the significant public interest associated with the Examiner's duties and responsibilities. In addition, the disbursements for which the Examiner and Jenner & Block seek reimbursement are the customary and usual expenses for which the Examiner and Jenner & Block seek reimbursement from their clients. Jenner & Block charges 9 cents per page for duplicating. Jenner & Block does not charge its clients for facsimiles, domestic and long distance telephone (other than while traveling), and certain overtime expenses, and takes those expenses into account in its overhead. Jenner & Block does not include charges for postage, messenger services, duplicating and computerized legal research in its overhead because it is fairer to clients who use less of these services to have these expenses billed separately. According to its outside auditors these charges fairly approximate the firm's actual costs and do not result in undue revenue for the firm. The firm's hourly rates used for this Third Interim Application do not compensate the firm for such expenses.

77. Section 331 of the Bankruptcy Code provides for interim compensation of professionals and incorporates the substantive standards of section 330 to govern the Court's

award of such compensation. 11 U.S.C. § 331. Section 330 provides that a court may award a professional employed under section 327 of the Bankruptcy Code “reasonable compensation for actual necessary services rendered . . . and reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses.” 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). Section 330 also sets forth the criteria for the award of such compensation and reimbursement:

In determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded to an Examiner, trustee under Chapter 11, or professional person, the court should consider the nature, the extent, and the value of such services, taking into account all relevant factors, including

- (A) the time spent on such services;
- (B) the rates charged for such services;
- (C) whether the services were necessary to the administration of, or beneficial at the time at which the service was rendered toward the completion of, a case under this title;
- (D) whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problem, issue, or task addressed;
- (E) with respect to a professional person, whether the person is board certified or otherwise has demonstrated skill and experience in the bankruptcy field; and
- (F) whether the compensation is reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under this title.

11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(3).

78. In the instant case, the Examiner and Jenner & Block respectfully submit that the services for which they seek compensation in this Third Interim Application were, at the time rendered, believed to be necessary for and beneficial to the Examiner and the Investigation. The Examiner and Jenner & Block further submit that the compensation requested herein is reasonable in light of the nature, extent, and value of such services provided by and to the

Examiner; and, the Examiner has been given the opportunity to review this application and has approved the requested amount.

79. The services rendered by the Examiner and Jenner & Block were consistently performed in a timely manner commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the issues involved. Accordingly, approval of the interim compensation sought herein for the Compensation Period is warranted.

80. This Third Interim Application includes citations to the applicable authorities and does not raise any novel issues of law. The Examiner and Jenner & Block reserve the right to file a brief in reply to any objection to this Third Interim Application.

81. To the extent applicable, the Examiner and Jenner & Block further requests that the Court waive for cause shown any Guideline requirement not met by this Third Interim Application.

82. No previous motion for the relief sought herein has been made to this or any other court.

## **CONCLUSION**

WHEREFORE the Examiner and Jenner & Block respectfully request: (i) allowance and payment of compensation for professional services rendered during the Compensation Period in the amount of \$16,227,724.95; and (ii) reimbursement for actual and necessary expenses the Examiner and Jenner & Block incurred during the Compensation Period in the amount of \$4,129,426.78; and (iii) that the Court grant the Examiner and Jenner & Block such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: December 14, 2009  
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Patrick J. Trostle  
Patrick J. Trostle

JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
919 Third Avenue, 37th Floor  
New York, New York 10022-3908  
Telephone: (212) 891-1600  
Facsimile: (212) 891-1699  
Patrick J. Trostle  
Heather D. McArn

353 North Clark Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60654-3456  
Telephone: (312) 222-9350  
Facsimile: (312) 527-0484  
Robert L. Byman (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
Daniel R. Murray (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Attorneys for the Examiner